formation of nitrite as a reaction product in the nitrate melts results in a competition for additional carboxylic acid salt. The reactions with formate are more rapid than those with acetate, and caution is recommended in studying these systems. Acknowledgment.—The efforts and assistance of Dennis A. Krygier in the cryoscopic and spectral phases of this work and the assistance of Stanley S. Lewek in obtaining the electrochemical data are greatly appreciated.

Contribution from Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973

## The Reaction of Hydrogen with Alloys of Magnesium and Nickel and the Formation of $Mg_2NiH_4^{-1}$

BY J. J. REILLY AND R. H. WISWALL, JR.

Received May 10, 1968

In the Mg–Ni system two intermetallic compounds are formed: Mg<sub>2</sub>Ni and MgNi<sub>2</sub>. MgNi<sub>2</sub> did not react with H<sub>2</sub> at pressures up to 400 psia and temperatures to 350°; however, Mg<sub>2</sub>Ni reacted readily with H<sub>2</sub> at 300 psia and 325°. The product of the reaction was a new ternary hydride with the formula Mg<sub>2</sub>NiH<sub>4</sub>. The reaction was reversible and upon decomposition the original starting material was regenerated. The X-ray diffraction pattern of the product was indexed. Several pressure-composition isotherms were obtained. The dissociation pressure of the hydride was found to obey the relationship log  $P_{\rm atm} = (-3360/T) + 6.389$  from which thermodynamic data were calculated. In the presence of excess Mg the pressure-composition isotherm exhibited two plateaus; the lower plateau is attributed to the formation of MgH<sub>2</sub> as evidenced by X-ray diffraction data and thermodynamic considerations. The presence of Mg<sub>2</sub>Ni appeared to have a catalytic effect on the formation of MgH<sub>2</sub>.

A previous article has described the reaction of hydrogen with magnesium-copper alloys.<sup>2</sup> In that article it was noted that the work was undertaken as part of an energy-storage program investigating the possibility of using reversible metal hydrides as a convenient and cheap means of storing hydrogen which is ultimately evolved and used as a fuel. This paper extends the discussion to the reaction of hydrogen with magnesium-nickel alloys at elevated temperatures and pressures. The system was found to be similar in some, but not all, respects to the Mg-Cu-H system.

## **Experimental Section**

The materials used in this investigation were hydrogen gas obtained from the Matheson Co., Gold Label grade, having an assay of >99.99% H<sub>2</sub>: zone-refined Mg rod, 0.25 in. in diameter and assaying 99.99% Mg with the major impurities being 20 ppm Fe and 20 ppm Zn; and nickel rod, 0.25 in. in diameter which assayed 99.99% Ni.

Although the procedure and apparatus were the same as that previously described in detail,<sup>2</sup> a brief summary may be helpful. The Mg-Ni alloys were prepared in an induction furnace under argon. The alloys were then transferred to a drybox, pulverized to -25 mesh, and introduced into a stainless steel high-pressure reactor. The reactor was removed from the drybox and attached to an experimental rack. The alloy samples were hydrided by exposing them to H<sub>2</sub> at ~350 psia at temperatures up to 350°. The hydrided alloys could be decomposed by reducing the H<sub>2</sub> overpressure through the use of an evacuated gas reservoir or by outgassing under a dynamic vacuum.

## **Results and Discussion**

Mg forms two intermetallic compounds with Ni, Mg<sub>2</sub>Ni and MgNi<sub>2</sub>.<sup>3</sup> Within the limits of the experi-

mental conditions, *i.e.*, a maximum temperature of  $350^{\circ}$  and a maximum pressure of 400 psia, MgNi<sub>2</sub> did not react with H<sub>2</sub>. However, Mg<sub>2</sub>Ni reacted at a temperature of  $325^{\circ}$  at an H<sub>2</sub> pressure of 300 psia. Although initially the rate of reaction was somewhat slow, the kinetics improved markedly after several cycles of hydriding and decomposition, and after such pretreatment the alloys reacted readily at temperatures as low as 200° and an H<sub>2</sub> pressure as low as 200 psia.

In Figure 1 are shown three pressure-composition isotherms for the system Mg<sub>2</sub>Ni-H<sub>2</sub>. The initial composition of the sample was 45.9 wt % Mg and 54.6 wt% Ni; the theoretical composition of Mg<sub>2</sub>Ni is 45.3 wt  $\%~{\rm Mg}$  and 54.7 wt  $\%~{\rm Ni}.~{\rm The}$  only phase initially present, as determined by an X-ray diffraction pattern of the sample, was  $Mg_2Ni$ . All of the isotherms ascend rapidly on the left indicating a narrow region where a solid solution of hydrogen in Mg<sub>2</sub>Ni exists. This is followed by a wide plateau region, the initiation of which indicates the appearance of a new phase. To the right of the plateau each isotherm ascends very steeply. This portion of the isotherm is depicted as a dashed line because a small amount of solid hydride had to be decomposed before the first equilibrium point on the right could be defined and even a decomposition of a very small amount of material resulted in an equilibrium point on or near the plateau. The abrupt termination of the plateau indicates that the hydride formed has a definite stoichiometric composition. An X-ray diffraction pattern of the hydrided material showed no evidence of the presence of MgH<sub>2</sub>, MgNi<sub>2</sub>, Ni, or any

<sup>(1)</sup> This work was performed under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

<sup>(2)</sup> J. J. Reilly and R. H. Wiswall, Inorg. Chem., 6, 2220 (1967).

<sup>(3)</sup> J. Hansen, "Constitution of Binary Alloys," McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, N. Y., 1958.



Figure 1.—Pressure-composition isotherm for the  $Mg_2Ni-H_2$  system. The initial alloy composition is 45.9 wt % Mg and 54.6 wt % Ni.

known compound. Thus, on the basis of the stoichiometry of the system and X-ray studies, the reaction in the plateau region is believed to be

$$Mg_2Ni + 2H_2 \longrightarrow Mg_2NiH_4$$
 (1)

The product of the reaction had a rust-colored, nonmetallic appearance. It reacted very slowly with water but vigorously with dilute nitric acid, evolving copious quantities of  $H_2$ . The product did not react with air in short-term exposures; however, one sample stored for several months in a stoppered bottle had apparently slowly oxidized, turning into a black nonreactive powder.

The crystal symmetry, as determined from the X-ray powder pattern, was tetragonal. The dimensions of the unit cell were a = 6.464 Å and c = 7.033 Å. The measured density of the product was 2.57 g/cm<sup>3</sup> which when compared with the X-ray data yields a value of 4 molecules per unit cell (the actual calculated number was 4.07).

The dissociation pressures (plateau region) for reaction 1 found at each of a series of temperatures were (given as T (°C), P (atm)): 274, 1.77; 299, 3.24; 322, 5.59; 3.25, 5.95; 349, 9.71.

A semilog plot of these dissociation pressures vs. the reciprocal of the absolute temperature gave a straight line which obeyed the relationship log  $P_{\text{atm}} = (-A/T) + B$ , where A = 3360 and B = 6.389.

An examination of Figure 1 shows that hydrogen dissolves in the Mg<sub>2</sub>Ni phase to the extent that the ratio of  $H/(Mg + Ni) \cong 0.1$ ; thus, eq 1 may be rewritten

$$0.54 \mathrm{Mg}_{2} \mathrm{NiH}_{0.3} + \mathrm{H}_{2} \xrightarrow{} 0.54 \mathrm{Mg}_{2} \mathrm{NiH}_{4}$$
(2)

The thermodynamic functions at a constant pressure of 1 atm of H<sub>2</sub> for reaction 2 calculated from the above dissociation pressure data are:  $\overline{\Delta H}_{298}\circ_{\rm K} = -15.4 \pm$ 1 kcal/mol of H<sub>2</sub>,  $\overline{\Delta F}_{298}\circ_{\rm K} = -6.7 \pm$  1 kcal/mol of H<sub>2</sub>, and  $\overline{\Delta S}_{298}\circ_{\rm K} = -29.2 \pm 1.5$  cal/deg mol of H<sub>2</sub>.

If Mg is present in the alloy in excess of the composition corresponding to  $Mg_2Ni$ , the pressure-composition isotherm exhibits two plateaus as shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2.—Pressure-composition isotherm for the  $Mg_2Ni-Mg-H_2$  system. The initial alloy composition is 72.9 wt % Mg and 25.2 wt % Ni. The arrow indicates predicted appearance of upper plateau.

The lower plateau is apparently due to the known reaction of Mg with  $H_2$ 

$$Mg + H_2 \xrightarrow{} MgH_2$$
 (3)

This situation is very similar to the Mg–Cu system previously studied<sup>2</sup> in which excess Mg in an Mg<sub>2</sub>Cu alloy forms MgH<sub>2</sub> and the system also exhibits two pressure plateaus. When all of the free Mg is exhausted, the second and higher plateau appears, which is due to reaction 1 of the intermetallic compound, Mg<sub>2</sub>Ni, with H<sub>2</sub>. An X-ray diffraction pattern taken of material whose composition corresponded to that of the lower plateau region ( $\dot{H}/(Mg + Ni) = 0.53$ ) has shown MgH<sub>2</sub>, Mg<sub>2</sub>Ni, and Mg to be present.

Even an alloy whose initial composition is only slightly on the Mg-rich side will give an isotherm whose shape is noticeably different from that of pure Mg<sub>2</sub>Ni. This is illustrated in Figure 3 where the small shoulder in the isotherm is due to the presence of a small amount of MgH<sub>2</sub>.

In these alloys the lengths of each pressure plateau are proportional to the relative amounts of free Mg



Figure 3.—Pressure-composition isotherm for  $Mg_2Ni$  with a small excess of Mg present. The initial alloy composition is 49.1 wt % Mg and 50.9 wt % Ni. The arrow indicates predicted appearance of upper plateau.

and Mg<sub>2</sub>Ni initially present. The arrows shown in Figures 2 and 3 mark where the boundary between the upper and lower plateaus should occur as predicted by reactions 1 and 3. The calculations did not take into account the formation of solid solutions which occurs to some extent as indicated by the slope of the line connecting the two plateaus. As with Mg<sub>2</sub>Cu, the presence of Mg<sub>2</sub>Ni had a catalytic effect on the reaction of Mg with H<sub>2</sub> in Mg-rich alloys.

The dissociation pressures (plateau region) for MgH<sub>2</sub> in the presence of Mg<sub>2</sub>Ni at various temperatures are (given as T (°C), P (atm)): 276, 0.72; 300, 1.48; 324, 2.93; 350, 5.48.

A semilog plot of these dissociation pressures vs. the reciprocal of the absolute temperature yields a straight line obeying the relationship log  $P_{\rm atm} = (-4045/T) + 7.224$ . The thermodynamic functions calculated from

these data, assuming Mg<sub>2</sub>Ni acts purely as a catalyst, are:  $\overline{\Delta H}_{298}\circ_{\rm K} = -18.5 \pm 1.0 \text{ kcal/mol} \text{ of } H_2$ ,  $\overline{\Delta F}_{298}\circ_{\rm K} = -8.7 \pm 1.0 \text{ kcal/mol} \text{ of } H_2$ , and  $\overline{\Delta S}_{298}\circ_{\rm K} = -33.0 \pm 0.7 \text{ cal/deg mol} \text{ of } H_2$ . The above values are shown as partial molal quantities and refer to the reaction of 1 mol of H<sub>2</sub> at 1 atm pressure and at 298°K with Mg saturated with hydrogen ( $\sim$ MgH<sub>0.05</sub>) to form MgH<sub>2</sub>. The amount of hydrogen dissolving in the Mg<sub>2</sub>Ni phase at the point where the MgH<sub>2</sub> phase appears is not considered since it is negligible when only small amounts of Mg<sub>2</sub>Ni are present. These values are in good agreement with those obtained previously with Mg-Cu<sup>2</sup> alloys and with Mg<sup>4</sup> alone.

In order to obtain a further check on the validity of eq 1 and 2, several alloys of various compositions were hydrided and dehydrided several times and then finally contacted with  $H_2$  for several days. The  $H_2$  pressure over the alloy was held >200 psi above the hydride dissociation pressure. These samples were analyzed for hydrogen content and, as shown in Table I, the actual hydrogen contents are in good agreement with predicted values.

		TABLE 1		
	Hydrogen C	CONTENT OF Mg-	Ni Alloy	5
	Initial alloy	Major initial	Н	/Mg
Expt no.	compn, wt %	phases present <sup><math>a</math></sup>	Exptl	Theoret
1	$45.8(\mathrm{Mg})$	$Mg_2Ni$	1.95	2.00
	54.5 (Ni)			
2	44.1  (Mg)	$Mg_2Ni$	1.99	1.97
	55.3 (Ni)	$MgNi_2$		
3	$45.8 (\mathrm{Mg})$	$Mg_2Ni$	2.01	2.00
	54.6 (Ni)			
4	50.2  (Mg)	$Mg_2Ni$	1.99	2.00
	49.8 (Ni)	Mg		
5	69.1  (Mg)	$Mg_2Ni$	1.94	2.00
	29.8 (Ni)	Mg		
6	94.1  (Mg)	$Mg_2Ni$	1.84	2.00
	4.8 (Ni)	${ m Mg}$		
7	93.1  (Mg)	$Mg_2Ni$	1.97	2.00
	6.2(Ni)	Mg		
8	31.7 (Mg)	$Mg_2Ni$	1.47	1.48
	68.5 (Ni)	$MgNi_2$		

<sup>a</sup> From phase diagram.<sup>3</sup>

**Acknowledgment.**—The authors wish to thank Mr. Jonathan Hughes for his assistance in the experimental work.

(4) J. F. Stampfer, Jr., C. E. Holley, and J. F. Suttle, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 82, 3504 (1960).